## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/804,433 03/19/2004 KOY-29 7159 Atsushi Nakajima **EXAMINER** LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP 475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH TRAN, LY T 15TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10016 2853

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

05/30/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/804,433	NAKAJIMA, ATSUSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ly T. TRAN	2853		

		Ly 1. 110-014	2000	
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY	FILED <u>21 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The rethis applaces a Requirement	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on oplication, applicant must timely file one of the follow is the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No uest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply rep	of Appeal. To avoid about fidavit, or other evide or compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	ne period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
no	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A bevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I caminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final reject	ion.
TV	NO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b)	If time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the expira	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropoliginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The N	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte ce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will not be entered b	ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) 🗔	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		
(c) 🗆	They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially		the issues for
(d) 🗌	They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
_	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
= ''	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
non-al	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be a llowable claim(s).			
how th	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) he new or amended claims would be rejected is protatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  (s) allowed:	inot be entered, or b) 🖾 Novided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim	(s) objected to:			
	(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-9</u> .			
	(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE filed after a final action, but	ut before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Appeal will n	ot be entered
becau was n	use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affid	avit or other evidence	is necessary and
entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. 🔲 The	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST	<u>FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.			
	ause:	icica bat accounts i piaco tilo app		
	Continuation Sheet.			
	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. 🔲 Othe	er:		/\/	
			STEPHEN MEIER ISORY PATENT EXA	MINER
		~~: <del>~~</del> ! <del>~~</del>   <del>~~</del> ! <del>~~</del>   <del>~~</del> ! <del>~~</del>   <del>~</del>		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Hoisington doe not even teach increasing/decreasing the maximum amount of ink to be jetted based on the recording speed. This argument is not persuasive because refer to [0035], Hoisington teaches printing with high resolution in a low printing and printing with low resolution in a faster printing speed.